Stalin in Mecca

Robert D. Crews analyzes Tsarist Russia and Islam. And a story about Soviet Muslims as “consensual imperial subjects”

Although the Russian and Islamic empires were heirs to a common Byzantine heritage, they experienced about a half-millennium of bellicose relations while the Russians expanded steadily into Central Asian lands. Splendidly, “this book shows how Russia became a Muslim power and how the government made Islam a pillar of imperial society, transforming Muslims into active participants in the daily operation of the autocracy and the local construction and maintenance of the empire.”

Istanbul’s German Embassy and Consulate guided the concerted German-Ottoman jihad effort from 1914 to 1918: The Soviets followed suit and proclaimed their own red-green jihad for the “Oppressed People against the Colonialists” since 1917, and again in 1920 in Baku, until World War Two.

Thereafter, Bolsheviks cast an atheistical shadow over all that mattered to the faithful. The goal of the Kremlin “infidels” was to create a Eurasian brand of Islam and a “Homo islamicus.”

Bolshevists aspired to “world revolution” and called Muslims to jihad against the rulers of rival empires. But in 1941, Hitler turned Muslim prisoners of war around to jihad against their “Soviet masters.” After the Germans’ defeat, a few of those anti-Soviet Muslims from Central Asia remained in Germany and settled down in Munich.

With the Cold War in full swing, Western clandestine services recruited among the remaining too. After Stalin’s death, some were dispatched undercover as pilgrims to Mecca so that they could discuss Khrushchev and de-Stalinization with pilgrims from Soviet Central Asia—Tatars, Bashkirs, Kazakhs, Turkmenians, Tadjiks, and Uzbeks—, and citizens of other “-stan” lands.

The aim of Western intelligence services was to explore Muslim potential for opposition to the Soviet colonization of their lands. But the leader of Soviet pilgrims, Imam Mirza G. Salikhov, readily found passages in the Qur’an that could be interpreted in the Kremlin’s favor. The Imam drew his salary from Moscow, though in the past he had spent two years in the Gulag. He knew of Khrushchev’s reforms, but not of his secret anti-Stalin speech. So here comes a story on the micro level of relations that illuminates the situation.
A story about some Soviet Muslims as “consensual imperial subjects”

This is a 1956 talk with Imam Salikhov of Soviet pilgrims in Mecca. A former Soviet Muslim who was sent from Munich to Mecca asked the questions. The German Islam policy used spies as pilgrims there, and pan-Islamic slogans of World War One. In Baku of 1920, the Soviets picked up related lines to incite from there a “world revolution” at the “Congress of the Peoples of the East,” and instigated a red-green jihad against foreign overlords in the colonies and dependent areas. On 13 August 1956, Radio Tashkent broadcasted in Uzbek that some Soviet pilgrims perform the Hajj in Mecca. The group, led by Muscovite Imam Mirza Gumaretдин Salikhov, also spent days in Cairo to visit the al-Azhar University. Here are twelve questions and answers from Imam Salikhov’s talk in Mecca, 21 July 1956, showing a deplorable situation [Source: Private Papers; Bavarian State Archive, Munich]:

1. “May you tell that there is liberty of conscience and religion now. Tell me how many mosques are open now in Kasan, in Uz, and in other cities and villages of the Tatar Bashkir O.C.R.? There were 17 mosques in Kasan and 21 mosques in Uza before.”

Imam: “By official permission of the government, one cathedral mosque is open in Kasan and one in Uza. Many people come on Friday to the mosque for the ‘Namaz’. They cannot find sufficient space in the Kasan mosque. Therefore people perform their ‘Namaz’ in 500 private apartments throughout the city.”

2. “Is there a school attached to every ‘Viceregal Moslem Administration’ for preparing Moslem priests, for example, in Uza?”

Imam: “There is one school for all the mosques of the O.C.R.”

3. “We are now negotiating for the opening of another school in Uza.”

Imam: “We are now negotiating for the opening of another school in Uza.”

4. “Why don’t you open your own schools where the children could have lessons of religion several times a week?”

Iman: “The laws of the state object to this. These laws were accepted by organs of the people’s power. You are a lawyer yourself, you ought to know about that.” Here I stressed that the Criminal Code prohibits the teaching of religion, and that this law was not passed by the people, but by an atheistic party. The Imam did not say anything more, he only smiled.

5. “Do you print the Koran according to your own wish?”

Imam: “Yes, this year 3,500 copies of it were printed in Tashkent.”

6. “Isn’t this too little for over 30,000,000 Moslems?”

Imam: “Everyone is interested in this can find it. In my mosque and in the mosques of my district the Koran has been passed with Koran copies.”

7. “What language is the Koran published?”

Imam: “In Arabic.”

8. “What language are the children taught in school?”

Imam: “Russian.”

9. “What language are the children taught in school?”

Imam: “Russian.”

10. “The new generation is unable to read the prayers printed in Arabic type?”

Imam: “May children learn the prayers in their family, at home.”

11. “But parents who belong to the young generation do not know the Arabic alphabet?”

Imam: “Persons who are about 50 or 60 and above generally know the Arabic alphabet. Besides, who believes in God will find a way…”

12. “Before the revolution, our Hossen, Tatar and Cossack women never married Russians or Ukrainians. Even until now all such cases were very rare. What is the situation now? I am interested in this sociological question under Communist conditions.”

Imam: “I have freedom of opinion. Every one acts as he likes. In my hush (esarr) which I read to the people who come to my mosque, I always say: ‘Keep your morals and customs! Is this not freedom?”
Nevertheless, according to a Western intelligence source, Salikhov “smoothly combined Islamic and communist ways of life;” and most of the men in his group (who rejected proffered gifts as well) also spoke favorably of their life in the U.S.S.R.—perhaps there was some progress in certain areas relative to the previous Tsarist Russian era.

Only one among them disclosed that, to encourage his prompt return, the Soviets had compelled him to leave his family at home. Keeping families hostage while their men travelled abroad, was widely spread under the Soviet praxis.

In Mecca, these Soviet Muslims acted as “consensual imperial subjects” even when, in Moscow, the compelling anti-Stalin disclosures were being made. Travelling abroad, the Soviet Muslims in Mecca spoke of “our government” and rejected “counterrevolutionary lies.”

On the other hand, the pilgrims still lived under the dangerous red regime that was willing to use all means, including force, against those with other opinions or with a strong faith.

Most interestingly, Imam Salikhov pointed at about “300 private apartments” of Kazan that served for Friday prayers because there were neither enough mosques in order nor enough space in the [obviously only one operating] Mosque of Kazan [The city celebrated its 2005 millennium with the inauguration of the Qul Sharif Mosque, that was destroyed in the 1552 Russian invasion, named after Qul Sharif, a known Tatar language-poet, scholar and imam of the Khanate of Kazan, and rebuilt in the Republic of Tatarstan since 1996].

As it became known, there were almost two decades after the break down of the Soviet empire and its Communism, some Muslims in the Russian Federation or nearby in newly independent countries who would like to return to the “sunny Soviet days” before the onset of “today’s chaos.”

No doubt, the Soviet decline and regime changes put additional burdens on them and their families, though in many cases allowed for a more self-determined development.

As this talk example shows, those days were not so sunny after all. However, a question worth asking in the comparative history of America-Mideast-Europe is why the Soviets were so much more “skillful” in their dealings with many Muslims than either their post-communist successors or their Western rivals have been.
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This review first appeared in Common Knowledge, 15(Fall 2009)3, 512-13. Updated, some links and two paragraphs of Salikhov’s 1956 talk were added so that all his twelve answers appear here together.